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ABSTRACT

A set of cranial and dental material of Antilocapridae was recovered from Quaternary deposits that outcrop in southeastern Hidalgo, central Mexico. The fossil bearing unit consists of clay, silt, sand, and scarce conglomeratic lenses in a fluvial environment; the specimens are associated with Bison indicating a Rancholabrean Land Mammal Age. The comparative study of the material with selected specimens of North American antilocaprids, allowed its identification as the small-sized species Capromeryx minor and the medium-sized Stockoceros conklingi. The sample referable to C. minor shows a combination of cranial and dental characters typical of this species, including a large posterior tine that is curved upward and forward, posterior horn core with a deep sulcus on its posterior side that extends from the base to the tip, and well-developed heel on M3. The isotopic analysis of teeth referable to this species from Hidalgo indicates a mixed feeding dietary behavior. A partial skull and associated horn core fragments were assigned to Stockoceros conklingi, considering its larger size than C. minor and shorter than the extant pronghorn Antilocapra americana, as well as for having symmetrical and diverging horn cores, and more outwardly flaring horn cores. The recognition of these antilocaprids in southeastern Hidalgo represents one of the southernmost occurrences within temperate North America. The tiny Capromeryx minor is known in North American localities of lower altitude (0–100 m a.s.l.) compared to those of the medium-sized Stockoceros conklingi (1000–2000 m a.s.l.). Hence, body size differences between both species and altitude differences between some of their localities suggests minimal direct competition between these antilocaprids.
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RESUMEN

En el presente estudio se describe un conjunto de restos craneales y dentales de Antilocapridae recuperado de depósitos del Quaternario, que afloran en el sector surooriental del estado de Hidalgo, centro de México. La unidad portadora de los fósiles consiste en un conjunto de arcilla, limo, arena y escasos lentes conglomeráticos depositados en un ambiente fluvial; los ejemplares están asociados a restos

El estudio comparado de la muestra disponible con ejemplares seleccionados de antilocápridos de Norteamérica reveló la existencia de la especie de tamaño pequeño Capromeryx minor y de la especie de tamaño mediano Stockoceros conklingi. El material asignado a C. minor muestra una combinación de caracteres craneales y dentales propios de esta especie, incluyendo un cuerno posterior grande que se curva ligeramente hacia delante y hacia arriba y con un surco profundo a lo largo del margen posterior de la base hacia la punta, así como la presencia de un talón bien desarrollado sobre el M3. El análisis isotópico de material dental asignado a esta especie indica que tuvo un hábito alimentario mixto. Un cráneo parcial y restos de cuernos asociados se asignaron a Stockoceros conklingi, dado que el material corresponde a una especie más grande que C. minor y menor en tamaño al berrendo actual Antilocapra americana, la cual a su vez se distingue por presentar cuernos simétricos y divergentes que además se dirigen hacia fuera con respecto a la línea media del cráneo. El reconocimiento de estas especies de antilocápridos en el sureste de Hidalgo, representa uno de sus registros más australes para Norteamérica Templada. La especie pequeña C. minor se conoce de localidades en Norteamérica ubicadas en un rango altitudinal de 0–100 m s.n.m., mientras que aquellas donde se conoce S. conklingi están ubicadas en un rango altitudinal de 1000–2000 m s.n.m. Con base en esto, las diferencias en tamaño corporal y de rango altitudinal de las localidades de donde se conocen estos antilocápridos, sugieren que la competencia ecológica entre ellas no fue tan intensa.

**INTRODUCTION**

Antilocaprids are endemic North American ruminants that appeared in the fossil record during the Early Miocene (Late Hemingfordian). Today the Family Antilocapridae is represented only by _Antilocapra americana_, the pronghorn antelope, but in the past these artiodactyls constituted a very successful lineage with as many as six genera roaming North America during the Late Miocene (Janis and Manning, 1998; Davis, 2007).

Mexican antilocaprids ranged from the middle Miocene to the late Pleistocene. The oldest reported genus is _Merycodus_, from the middle Miocene (Barstovian) of southern Mexico (Jiménez-Hidalgo et al., 2002). Pliocene pronghorns are the best known and have been recorded mainly from central Mexico; seven genera have been reported thus far, ranging from Hemphillian 2 to Blancan III NALMA’s (Jiménez-Hidalgo et al., 2004; Jiménez-Hidalgo and Carranza-Castañeda 2004; Jiménez-Hidalgo and Carranza Castañeda, 2011; Carranza-Castañeda et al., 2013).

The Pleistocene Mexican record of Antilocapridae includes _Stockoceros_ sp. and _Tetrameryx_ sp. from the Irvingtonian of El Golfo, Sonora (Shaw, 1981). Rancholabrean records include _Antilocapra americana_ from Cuatro Ciénegas, Coahuila, Cueva Jiménez in Chihuahua, Minas en Nuevo León state and Laguna de la Media Luna in San Luis Potosí (Kurtén, 1975; Lundelius, 1980); _Capromeryx minor_ from La Brisa, and _Capromeryx_ sp. and _Stockoceros_ sp. from Terapa, Sonora (Van Devender et al., 1985; Mead et al., 2006); _Stockoceros conklingi_ from San Josecito Cave in Nuevo León, Mina San Antonio and La Presita in San Luis Potosí (Polaco and Buttron, 1997; Arroyo-Cabales and Jhonson, 2008). _Capromeryx mexicanus, Stockoceros conklingi, Tetrameryx mooseri_ and _Tetrameryx tacubayensis_ were recorded from the El Cedazo Local Fauna, Aguascalientes (Mooser, 1958, Mooser and Dalquest, 1975); _Capromeryx mexicanus_ and _Stockoceros conklingi_ from Tequixquiac, State of Mexico (Furlong, 1925; Maldonado-Koerdell, 1955); _Stockoceros conklingi_ and _Tetrameryx shuleri_ from Hueyatlaco, Puebla (Guenther and Bunde, 1973); and _Capromeryx mexicanus, Stockoceros conklingi_ and _Tetrameryx shuleri_ from Mixtequilla in Veracruz (Polaco, 1995).

Paleontological research in Pleistocene localities from Hidalgo has allowed the recovery of antilocaprid specimens that represent two species. The purpose of this paper is to describe these specimens and to comment about the taxonomic status of some Pleistocene species of antilocaprids from Mexico and comment on some paleobiological aspects of the identified species.

**STUDY AREA**

The material was recovered from two nearby localities in the southeastern sector of Hidalgo. The localities are formally known as El Barrio (HGO-47: 20°07′41″N – 98°56′02.7″W; 2184 m a.s.l.) and Barranca del Berrendo (HGO-28: 20°01′20.7″N – 98°37′37.9″W; 2460 m a.s.l.) (Figure 1). Outcrops of Tertiary to Quaternary volcanic and volcano sedimentary rocks are recognized in the region (INEGI, 1992). The fossil bearing unit consists of clay, silt, and sand moderately consolidated, including scarce conglomeratic lenses along the exposure, in a fluvial depositional environment (Figure 2).

The associated mammalian fauna includes rodents, bears, dire wolves, giant armadillos, giant ground sloths, llamas, deers, bison, mammoths, and mastodons (Castillo-Cerón et al., 1996; Bravo-Cuevas, 2001, 2002; Bravo-Cuevas et al., 2009, 2011, 2012; Cabral-Perdomo, 2001). Material referable to the genus _Bison_ has been recovered.
from both localities, including a horn core fragment (HGO-47) and several isolated teeth (HGO-28, HGO-47); the presence of this taxon is indicative of a Rancholabrean North American Land Mammal Age (Bell et al., 2004).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Studied sample and taxonomic identification. The fossil material includes a partial skull, three skull fragments with horn cores, isolated upper and lower molariforms, and two mandible fragments. The material is housed at the Sección de Macromamíferos, Museo de Paleontología, Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Hidalgo (UAHMP), Hidalgo, México, under the numbers UAHMP-330, UAHMP-331, UAHMP-1117, UAHMP-1118, UAHMP-1119, UAHMP-1121, and UAHMP-3925.

The material was compared with selected specimens of antilocaprids housed at the vertebrate paleontology collections of the Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County and the George C. Page Museum of La Brea Discoveries (LACM), Los Angeles, California; Frick collections of American Museum of Natural History (F:AM); and with descriptions and illustrations of specimens housed at the New Mexico Museum of Natural History and Science (NMMNH); University of Kansas Museum of Natural History.

LITHOLOGY

- Soil
- Quaternary clay and silt with sparsely conglomeratic lenses
- Arkosic sandstone with volcanic gravel
- Volcanic ash
- Andesitic conglomerate
- Basalt

Figure 2. Stratigraphic sections of the localities Barranca del Berrendo (HGO-28) and El Barrio (HGO-47). Arrows indicate the fossil levels. Abbreviations: Cm, Capromeryx minor; Sc, Stockoceros conklingi.
History (KU); and the Paleobiology Collection of the UTEP Biodiversity Collections (formerly the Laboratory for Environmental Biology), Department of Biological Sciences and Centennial Museum, University of Texas at El Paso (UTEP).

Selected measurements of cranial elements are those of Skinner (1942: fig. 12, p. 190-191). The dental nomenclature is that of Bärmann y Rössner (2011). The crown height, anteroposterior length and transverse width were measured at the occlusal surface (Morgan and Morgan, 1995) using a digital caliper. All measurements are in mm.

The tooth wear stages of Jiménez-Hidalgo and Carranza-Castañeda (2011:535) were related to a particular individual category as follows: Stage I, very young individual; Stage II, young individual; Stage III, young-adult individual; Stage IV, adult individual; Stage V, old individual. We estimate the body mass using the ruminant’s prediction equations of Janis (1990) for first lower molar length (FLML) and first molar area (FLMA).

**Dietary characterization.** The small-sized antilocaprid considered in present study is represented by dental elements. Thus, we selected three molars to characterize its dietary behavior by means of δ13C isotopic analysis in carbonate tooth enamel apatite. Tooth enamel flakes were extracted and chemically treated following MacFadden and Cerling (1996) and Koch et al. (1997). The samples were analyzed by using a Finnigan MAT 253 mass spectrometer attached to a Finnigan Gas Bench II on-line gas preparation system within the Laboratorio Universitario de Geoquímica Isotópica (LUGIS), Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México. Each sample was dissolved in 100% orthophosphoric acid at 25 ºC and during 54 hours to create CO2.

Isotopic values are expressed in standard δ notation and are reported relative to the V-PDB standard (Pee Dee Belemnite Limestone) (after Craig, 1957). The carbon isotope values are related to a particular dietary preference as follows: values of δ13C < -10‰ indicate a diet consisting primarily in C3 plants (= browsers); values of δ13C > -1% indicate a diet consisting primarily in C4 plants (= grazers); and values of δ13C ranging between -1% and -10‰ indicate a diet consisting of both C3/C4 plants (= mixed feeders) (Koch et al., 1992; Quade et al., 1992; Cerling et al., 1997). The percentage of C4 plants consumption was estimated using the mass balance equation of Koch et al. (2004).

**Anatomical abbreviations.** APL/apl, upper/lower anteroposterior tooth length; CH/ch, upper/lower crown height; d, deciduous; L, left; M/m, upper/lower molar; P/p, upper/lower premolar; R, right; TW/tw, upper/lower transverse tooth width.

**Statistical abbreviations.** N, sample size; x, sample mean; s, sample standard deviation; V, sample coefficient of variation; OR, sample observed range.

**Other abbreviations.** ICZN, International Code of Zoological Nomenclature; Ka, thousand years; Ma, million years; NALMA, North American Land Mammal Age.

**SYSTEMATIC PALEONTOLOGY**

Order Artiodactyla Owen, 1848
Suborder Ruminantia Scopoli, 1777
Family Antilocapridae Gray, 1866
Subfamily Antilocaprinae Brook, 1876
Tribe Stockoceratini Frick, 1937
Genus Capromeryx Matthew, 1902

**Capromeryx minor** (Taylor, 1911)
(Figures 3 - 5, Tables 1 and 2)

Breameryx minor, Furlong, 1946: 138, Pl. 3 (fig. 6).
Capromeryx mexicana, Furlong, 1925: 146 - 148, figs. 7-9.
Breameryx mexicana, Furlong, 1946: 138, Pl. 3 (fig. 5).

**Description.**

**Skull and horn cores.** The specimen UAHMP-331 includes the dorsal rim of the orbit; a small portion of the frontal bone, the posterior horn core that is nearly complete (lacking an estimated 15 mm of the tip) and the anterior horn core is missing. The core-base is over the orbit and its long diameter is 22.27 mm. The horizontal diameter of the orbit at the lower limits of preservation is 25.59 mm. The posterior horn core is laterally flattened and oval-shaped in cross section; the horn core rises upward and towards the tip is gently curved; there is a deep sulcus on the posterior side of the horn core, which extends from the base to the tip (Figure 3).

**Upper dentition.** There is only a RM3 (UAHMP-3925); this tooth is hypsodont (CH along the mesostyle is 30.28 mm), and the styles and ribs are prominent. The protocone and metaconule are V-shaped and about same size. The fossae are simple and U-shaped. The paracone and metaconule are separated and well differentiated. A well-developed heel is observed in this tooth (Figure 4).

**Mandible and lower dentition.** The mandible fragment UAHMP-1121 is shallow and slender. The diastema is long and the diastemal crest is poorly developed. The mental foramen is large, deep, and oval in shape. In both mandible fragments (UAHMP-330 and UAHMP-1121) the mandibular depth increases backwardly (mean depth below m1 is 21.47 mm) (Figure 5).

The dp3 is broken and only the posterolingual column of the tooth is preserved; its occlusal pattern is indistinguishable. The dp4 is three-lobed; the anterolabial conid is U-shaped, whereas the protoconid and hypoconid are V-shaped; the anterolabial conid and the protoconid are similar in size, and the hypoconid is the largest conid of the labial border; the anterolabial conid is straight, the metaconid is narrow and is well-separated from the entoconid, which is broader; the mesofossa and the posterior fossa are anteroposteriorly elongated, the mesofossa is constricted in its middle part and is smaller than the posterior fossa; the entostylid is slightly prominent (Figure 5a).

The m1’s are hypsodont (mean ch ≈ 26 mm) and two-lobed; the protoconid and hypoconid are V-shaped,
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Recently, White and Morgan (2011) described material from the Rancholabrean of New Mexico as belonging to *C. furcifer*. The taxonomic assignment of the sample is extensively discussed, showing that the horn core morphology of this species is clearly distinctive from the other Rancholabrean small-sized species.

The taxonomic status and features employed to distinguish *C. minor* from *C. mexicanus* are controversial (see Hibbard and Taylor, 1960: 188; Morgan and Morgan, 1995: references therein; White and Morgan, 2011: 646). The species *C. minor* was named by Taylor (1911) to distinguish mandible fragments and postcranial elements belonging to a small antilocaprid from Rancho La Brea. Later, Chandler (1916) described additional specimens, including cranial material.

On the other hand, the species *C. mexicanus* was subjectively defined by Furlong (1925) based on a partial skull of an old individual (Holotype, UCMP 26648) recovered from Tequixquiac, State of Mexico; additional material from Tequixquiac and El Cedazo (Aguascalientes, central Mexico) was assigned acritically to this species (Maldonado-Koerdell, 1955; Mooser and Dalquest, 1975).

A comparison of UCMP 26648 with cranial material belonging to *C. minor* from La Brea shows that diagnostic features of *C. mexicanus* are arguable. The specimen UCMP 26648 shows a slight expansion of the tines just above the base from which the horn cores rise. Some specimens of *C. minor* have a similar appearance, such as LACMHC 49, which has robust and laterally expanded horn cores with the widest area distal to the base.

Furlong (1925) considered that anterior horn cores of *C. mexicanus* are relatively longer than in *C. minor*. Nevertheless, the long diameter of the anterior horn core of UCMP 26648 (21.43 mm) and the *C. minor* specimens
A forward curvature of the posterior horn core has been considered as a remarkable feature of *C. mexicanus*, although we observed that specimens of *C. minor* show a similar condition. The resemblance is particularly apparent with LACMHC 49 and LACMHC 1126 (skull of a composite skeleton that is on the exhibit mount in the Page Museum), given that in both specimens the posterior margin of the posterior horn core shows a forward curvature that begins above the line of union of the two horn cores and extends upwardly and forwardly as in UCMP 26648. It should be noted that forward curvature of UCMP 26648 is more pronounced (Furlong, 1925: 147, fig. 8), a condition that could be explained by age variation. This contention is in agreement with differences observed between horn cores of young and adult animals of the medium-sized antilocaprid *Stockoceros conklini*, considering that the inclination of horn cores is most pronounced in old animals of this species (Furlong, 1943). Also, difference in inclination can be the result of intraspecific variation.

The comparison shows that prong morphology of *C. minor* and *C. mexicanus* from Tequixquiac (State of Mexico) is very similar or the same taking into account individual variation.

Therefore, we proposed that the Mexican material described and figured by Furlong (1925) as *C. mexicanus*, should be referred as *C. minor* in accordance with the Statement of the Principle of Priority (ICZN, 1999: Article 23). Other authors had adopted a similar taxonomic criterion, considering UCMP 26648 as the type of *C. minor* (see White and Morgan, 2011: 646). We are not prepared to argue that material referable as to *C. mexicanus* from El Cedazo has to be considered also as *C. minor* pending a formal revision of this material.

**Taxonomic assignment.** The sample from Hidalgo shows several diagnostic features of *Capromeryx*, such as horn cores supraorbitally located and parallel to each other, posterior horn core laterally flattened, deep sulcus in the

---

**Figure 4.** Upper dentition of *Capromeryx minor* from the late Pleistocene of Hidalgo, central Mexico. a) occlusal and b) labial views of UAHMP-3925, isolated RM3. Scale bar 1 cm.

**Figure 5.** Lower dentition and mandibles of *Capromeryx minor* from the late Pleistocene (Rancholabrean) of Hidalgo, central Mexico. a) occlusal and b) external views of UAHMP-1121, a left mandible fragment with the alveolus for p2, broken dp3, dp4, and m1; and c) occlusal and d) external views of UAHMP-330, a left mandible fragment with m1-m3. Scale bars 1 cm.
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Table 1. Comparison of selected measurements (in mm) of the posterior horn core for *Capromeryx* from Hidalgo and selected specimens of the late Pleistocene species *C. minor* and *C. furcifer*. (a) *Capromeryx minor* from Hidalgo, central Mexico; (b - c) *C. minor* from La Brea, California (White and Morgan, 2011); (d) *C. minor* (= *C. mexicanus*) from Tequixquiac, State of Mexico (after Furlong, 1925); and (e - f) *C. furcifer* from Tramperos Creek (White and Morgan, 2011) and from Pendejo Cave, New Mexico.

*The horn core tip is missing. Measures in parenthesis indicate estimated maximum length.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Maximum length</th>
<th>Transverse width</th>
<th>Long diameter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a) UAHMP-331</td>
<td>54.33*</td>
<td>11.32</td>
<td>13.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(= 65)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) LACMHC Z8523</td>
<td>85.36</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>12.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) LACMHC 49</td>
<td>67.4</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>13.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d) UCMP 26648 (Holotype)</td>
<td>71.69</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>13.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e) NMMNH 50387 R/L</td>
<td>88.78/104.65*</td>
<td>18.52/18.23</td>
<td>15.20/16.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(= 115)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(f) UTEP 9366.G.989.337.1</td>
<td>110.77</td>
<td>23.59</td>
<td>24.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

posterior side of the posterior horn core, and m3 lacking a fourth lobe (Jannis and Manning, 1998).

Based on the Rancholabrean age of the strata and the small size of the taxon considered, the comparative study was restricted to the species *Capromeryx minor* and *C. furcifer*.

The cranial material from Hidalgo (UAHMP-331) described in this report shares with the tiny antilocaprid *Capromeryx minor* the following suite of diagnostic features: (1) horn cores arise from a common base; (2) the posterior horn core is long, slender and circular to oval in cross section; and (3) a posterior horn core that is bending upward and forward (Furlong, 1925; Kurtén and Anderson, 1980). The size of the posterior horn core of UAHMP-331 is closely comparable to LACMHC 49 (a horn core) from La Brea, and it is slightly smaller (= 15%) to that of LACMHC Z8523 (left horn core) from La Brea and UCMP 26648 (= holotype) from Tequixquiac, State of Mexico (Table 1). These subtle differences in size can be explained by intraspecific variation related to age and/or sex of the individuals.

Mooser and Dalquest (1975) described a complete horn core (FC 626) from El Cedazo that was assigned to *C. mexicanus* (op. cit., 1975: 814). The maximum length estimated for this specimen (= 69 mm) is similar to that of UAHMP-331 (= 65 mm); nevertheless, our comparison is limited considering that the horn core morphology of the material from Aguascalientes is improperly described and it has not been figured.

The length of posterior horn core of UAHMP-331 is smaller (about 40%) than specimens belonging to *Capromeryx furcifer*, such as NMMNH 50387 and UTEP 9366.G.989.337.1 from the Rancholabrean of New Mexico (Table 1). The specimen from Hidalgo also has a posterior horn core curved upward and forward, quite unlike the straight-angled posterior horn cores of *C. furcifer*. Furthermore, this species differs in having posterior horn cores with a shallow groove on the anterior margin (White and Morgan, 2011).

The dental size and morphology between the small-sized species of *Capromeryx* is relatively homogeneous, with only few features, such as the depth of posterolinguinal inflection on p4 and the development of a heel on M3, showing some taxonomically significant variation (see Morgan and Morgan, 1995; Jiménez-Hidalgo et al., 2004). The size of the lower molars from Hidalgo is similar to that of dental elements belonging to *C. furcifer* from southwestern Kansas and is slightly larger than that of lower molars of *C. minor* from La Brea. Nevertheless, the lengths and widths of the sample from Hidalgo are within the observed range of the species considered in our comparison. It should be noted that an overlapping of the compared datasets is observed, thus suggesting that dental size may be inadequate for taxonomic

Table 2. Selected measurements (in mm) of lower molars and univariate statistics for *Capromeryx* from Hidalgo and the late Pleistocene species *C. minor* and *C. furcifer*. (a) *C. minor* from Hidalgo; (b) *C. minor* from La Brea, California; (c) *C. furcifer* from southwestern Kansas (Hibbard and Taylor, 1960: 188, table IX).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>m1</th>
<th></th>
<th>m2</th>
<th></th>
<th>m3</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>apl</td>
<td>tw</td>
<td>apl</td>
<td>tw</td>
<td>apl</td>
<td>tw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) N</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
<td>8.3 – 9.2</td>
<td>4.7 – 4.9</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) N</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
<td>6.5 – 8.6</td>
<td>3.4 – 4.7</td>
<td>8.7 – 10.7</td>
<td>4.0 – 5.0</td>
<td>12.3 – 15.0</td>
<td>2.7 – 4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) N</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
<td>7.0 – 9.1</td>
<td>4.0 – 5.1</td>
<td>9.0 – 10.5</td>
<td>4.5 – 5.5</td>
<td>14.5 – 14.9</td>
<td>4.1 – 5.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The specimen UAHMP-3925 (RM3) from Hidalgo shows a well-developed heel (Figure 4). This condition is commonly observed in the upper third molars of *Capromeryx minor*, such as the M3's of the specimen UCMP 26648 from Tequixquiac, State of Mexico (Furlong, 1925:148, fig. 9), and an isolated RM3 catalogued as LACM15 from McKittrick Tar Seeps, Rancholabrean of California. In *C. furcifer*, the development of a heel on M3 varies from absent to well developed (Saysette, 1999).

The comparison of the cranial material from Hidalgo with selected species of *Capromeryx* allowed identifying it as *C. minor*. We observe that dental size and morphology does not seem to provide much useful taxonomic information to distinguish between the small-sized species of *Capromeryx*; although the development of heel on M3 of the sample from Hidalgo is typical of that observed in specimens referable to *C. minor*. Nevertheless, we consider that the mandibular fragments and dental elements belong to the same species, given their association with diagnostic cranial material.

**Dietary behavior.** The $\delta^{13}C$ isotope values of *Capromeryx minor* from Hidalgo vary from $-5.94\%$ to $-9.57\%$. A mean value of $-8.06\%$ suggests a mixed feeding behavior, consisting primarily of C3 plants and in lesser extent of C4 plants (30% of C4 plants consumption). An intermediate feeder characterization has been previously considered for antilocaprids from the Wisconsinan of New Mexico and Nevada, including specimens referable to *Capromeryx* (Connin et al., 1998). Here, we provide additional information regarding the feeding behavior of this tiny antilocaprid, which appears to have had a diet consisting of variable plant resources with preference for C3 plants.

**Genus Stockoceros Skinner, 1942**

*Stockoceros conklingi* (Stock, 1930)  
(Figure 6, Table 3)

*Tetrameryx conklingi*: Stock, 1930:6, figs. 1 - 3.  
*Tetrameryx onusrosagris* (=*Stockoceros onusrosagris*)  
(Roosevelt and Burden, 1934)

**Description.**

**Skull and horn cores.** The description of skull morphology is based on UAHMP-1117 that is the specimen best preserved. It shows a cranial displacement of 20° in relation to the sagittal plane. The frontal is expanded anteroposteriorly; its lower area is anterior to the orbit and continues upward acquiring a slightly convex surface. A large and rounded supraorbital foramen is located anterior and internal to the horn core base. The dorsal margin of the orbit is rounded and prominent, whereas the orbit is large (anteroposterior diameter is 40 mm) and rounded. The parietal is small and occupies an area that is rectangular in outline. The temporal extends downward and the temporal ridge is well differentiated. The external auditory meatus is large and rounded, directed upward and rearward; the anomalous position of this structure resulted from distortion of the skull (Figure 6a). The nuchal crest is prominent and trapezoidal. The external occipital protuberance is well differentiated from the nuchal crest. The occipital condyles are kidney-shaped. The foramen magnum is large, deep, and oval. The jugular apophyses are short, wide, and roughly rectangular. The left auditory bulla is preserved, which is large and inflated. The oval foramina are rounded and located anterior to the auditory bulla. The basilar part of the occipital bone is flattened and occupies an area more or less triangular in outline (Figure 6b).

Figure 6. Skull morphology of *Stockoceros conklingi* from the late Pleistocene of Hidalgo, central Mexico. a) lateral and b) ventral views of UAHMP-1117, partial skull with the right anterior and posterior horn cores. Scale bars 5 cm.
The horn cores are divergent (angle of divergence is 65°) and arise from a wide and low base that is parallel to the medial line of the skull. The long diameter of the horn cores is ≈ 31 mm. The anterior horn core is nearly complete (lacking an estimated 10 to 15 mm of the tip), is flared outwardly and located over the orbit; this horn core is oval in cross section, the anterior and posterior margins are straight and directed upward and forward. The posterior horn core is located posteriorly to the orbit, this horn core is circular (UAHMP-1118) to oval (UAHMP-1117 and UAHMP-1119) in cross section, its anterior margin is straight and the posterior margin is convex, and it is directed upward. The specimen UAHMP-1117 is considered an adult individual, given that horn cores show a tendency to flare outwardly and a pronounced inclination (Furlong, 1943).

**Referred material.** Loc. El Barrio (HGO-47): UAHMP-1117, partial skull with the right anterior and posterior horn cores; UAHMP-1118, skull fragment with the right and left posterior horn cores; UAHMP-1119, skull fragment with the base of the right posterior horn core.

**Age and occurrence.** Chronological range restricted to the Rancholabrean NALMA. The species is known from Arizona (Ventana Cave and Papago Springs Cave) and New Mexico (Shelter Cave), USA (Davis, 2007); and the Mexican states of Nuevo León (San Josécito Cave) (Arroyo-Cabrales and Johnson, 2008), Veracruz (La Mixtequilla) (Polaco, 1995), San Luis Potosí (Mina San Antonio and La Presita localities) (Polaco and Butron, 1997), Aguascalientes (Cedazo) (Mooser, 1958; Mooser and Dalquest, 1975), Puebla (Huayatlaco) (Guenther and Bunde, 1973), State of Mexico (Tequixquiac) (Furlong, 1925; Maldonado-Koerdell, 1955), and Hidalgo (El Barrio) (this report).

**Discussion.**

**Taxonomic assignment.** The specimen UAHMP-1117 shares with Stockoceros the presence of paired and diverging horn cores; horn cores circular to oval in cross section; horn cores arise from a low core base that is parallel to the medial line of the skull; anterior horn core more outwardly flaring than posterior; a large and rounded supraorbital foramen located anterior and internal to the horn core base; and large and inflated auditory bulla (Skinner, 1942). The genus *Tetrameryx* is distinguished from the Hidalgo material by its larger size, asymmetrical horn cores, and posterior horn core significantly larger (about twice) than anterior horn core (Kurtén and Anderson, 1980; Davis, 2007).

On the other hand, the only surviving antilocaprid *Antilocapra americana* differs from the studied sample in having a larger skull, lacking an anterior horn core, and posterior horn core laterally compressed and blade-like (Kurtén and Anderson, 1980).

The species of *Stockoceros* are *S. conklingi* and *S. onusrosagris*. The horn core morphology between these species is closely similar and they have been distinguished by its size. The species *S. onusrosagris* has been considered larger than *S. conklingi* (Roosevelt and Burden, 1934; Skinner, 1942; Kurtén and Anderson, 1980).

The anterior and posterior horn core diameters of UAHMP-1117 are similar to those of the specimens LACM (CIT) 3164 and LACM (CIT) 3005, belonging to *S. conklin-

---

Table 3. Comparison of selected cranial measurements (in mm) for *Stockoceros* from Hidalgo and selected specimens of the late Pleistocene species *S. conklingi* and *S. onusrosagris*. (a): *S. conklingi* from Hidalgo, central Mexico; (b) - (c): *S. conklingi* from San Josécito Cave, Nuevo León, northern Mexico; (d) - (e): *S. onusrosagris* (= *S. conklingi*) from Papago Springs Cave, Arizona. Abbreviations: L, left side; R, right side. For LACM (CIT) 3164 and F:AM 42539, the first value represents right, the second left sides. *The horn core tip is missing. Measures in parenthesis indicate estimated maximum length.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(a) UAHMP-1117</th>
<th>(b) LACM (CIT) 3164</th>
<th>(c) LACM (CIT) 3005</th>
<th>(d) F:AM 42539</th>
<th>(e) F:AM 42534</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Long diameter of core base</td>
<td>65.75R</td>
<td>46.22/47.60</td>
<td>48.05R</td>
<td>67.18/62.72</td>
<td>62.92L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long diameter of anterior horn core</td>
<td>29.60R</td>
<td>23.43/26.69</td>
<td>21.63R</td>
<td>34.86/32.53</td>
<td>35.34L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long diameter of posterior horn core</td>
<td>28.41R</td>
<td>24.76/26.17</td>
<td>23.43R</td>
<td>33.39/33.20</td>
<td>33.08L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of anterior horn core</td>
<td>87.98R*</td>
<td>75.28/81.56</td>
<td>59.82R</td>
<td>117.13/120.05</td>
<td>131.46L*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of posterior horn core</td>
<td>113.84R</td>
<td>70.83/49.97*</td>
<td>69.07R</td>
<td>124.12/125.38</td>
<td>101.88L*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angle of divergence of anterior horn core</td>
<td>34°</td>
<td>25°</td>
<td>16°</td>
<td>16° – 18°</td>
<td>34°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angle of divergence of posterior horn core</td>
<td>35°</td>
<td>32°</td>
<td>20°</td>
<td>31° – 34°</td>
<td>37°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angle of divergence of anterior and posterior horn cores</td>
<td>65°</td>
<td>64°</td>
<td>37°</td>
<td>51° – 53°</td>
<td>60°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outward flare of anterior horn core</td>
<td>18°</td>
<td>18° – 23°</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>28° – 30°</td>
<td>30° – 32°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outward flare of posterior horn core</td>
<td>14°</td>
<td>14° – 15°</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>23°</td>
<td>24° – 25°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
from San Josecito Cave, Nuevo León, northern Mexico. On the contrary, the horn cores of the specimens F:AM 42534 and F:AM 42539, referable to S. onusrosagris from Papago Springs Cave in Arizona, are longer (about 35%) than the material from Hidalgo (Table 3).

The specimen UAHMP-1117 has larger horn cores than those of LACM (CIT) 3164 and LACM (CIT) 3005, with a mean difference of 30% (Table 3). The horn cores of UAHMP-1117 are smaller (about 15%) than those of F:AM 42539 and F:AM 42534 belonging to S. onusrosagris (Table 3).

The outward flaring of the horn cores of UAHMP-1117 is closely comparable to that observed in specimens of S. conklingi, while the horn cores of specimens belonging to S. onusrosagris show a greater outward flaring of the horn cores (Table 3).

The material from Hidalgo has an intermediate size between specimens of Stockoceros conklingi from San Josecito Cave and S. onusrosagris from Papago Springs Cave (Table 3). Furlong (1943) studied a large sample of S. conklingi from San Josecito Cave and Shelter Cave, as well as specimens of S. onusrosagris from Papago Springs Cave. He concluded that between these samples the size differences could be related to age variation, suggesting that there was not enough evidence to regard them as specifically distinct. Our comparison supports this contention, considering that differences between the samples from Hidalgo, San Josecito Cave and Papago Springs Cave are probably due to age variation, geographic variation, and/or clinal. Hence, we propose that Stockoceros conklingi and S. onusrosagris are the same species; thus the latter species should be considered as a junior synonym (ICZN, 1999: Article 23).

**PALEOBIOLOGICAL SIGNIFICANCE**

**Geographic distribution**

The biochronological range of Capromeryx minor and Stockoceros conklingi is restricted to the Rancholabrean (Kurtén and Anderson, 1980; Davis, 2007). By the late Pleistocene, the record of these antilocaprids mainly comes from localities within temperate North America between 20° and 40° Lat N. Its recovery in southeastern Hidalgo represents one of the southernmost evidences for a temperate climate in the Rancholabrean (Figure 7).

For Mexico, the tiny antilocaprid Capromeryx minor shows a scattered distribution, occurring in one locality at the Northwestern Plains and Sierras (Sonora) and one at the Trans-Mexican Volcanic Belt (State of Mexico). The medium-sized species Stockoceros conklingi is more widespread, having been recorded in several localities within the Sierra Madre Oriental (Nuevo León and San Luis Potosí), the Central Plateau (Aguascalientes), the Trans-Mexican Volcanic Belt (State of Mexico and Puebla), and the Gulf Coastal Plain (Veracruz) (Figure 7). The presence of both species in Hidalgo extends their distribution for eastern-central Mexico within the Trans-Mexican Volcanic Belt morphotectonic province.

Within North America, C. minor is recorded in localities that range from 0–100 m a.s.l. in the San Francisco Bay region, to 1500–2000 m a.s.l. in Nevada and Mexico. The localities where S. conklingi has been recorded range from 1000 to 2000 m a.s.l.

**Paleoecology**

Previous work demonstrated that the genus Capromeryx underwent a progressive diminution in body size, reaching its smallest form during the Rancholabrean (Morgan and Morgan, 1995; White and Morgan, 2011). The late Pleistocene species C. minor was a small-sized antilocaprid that weighed about 10 kg (Kurtén and Anderson, 1980). The estimations of body mass of specimens from Hidalgo using the length and area of the first molar differ in an interval of 15 kg. We observed a similar pattern with estimations for specimens of C. minor from Rancho La Brea (Table 4). It should be noted that other estimations for specimens of C. minor indicate a weight of 12–13 kg and 8–11 kg for specimens of C. mexicanus (Sayssette, 1999). These values are close to those derived by the first molar length. This species is the only very small ruminant artiodactyl known from the late Pleistocene mammalian assemblage in southeastern Hidalgo, central Mexico.

The species Capromeryx minor shows morphological and ecological features (such as small body size, simple horn cores, and variable diet), related to organisms that dwell in copses of trees and shrubs for protection of its predators (see Downs et al., 1959; Jarman, 1974). Bravo-Cuevas et al. (2011) suggested that the mammalian association of southeastern Hidalgo is indicative of a heterogeneous environment, consisting of open grassland areas with shrubs and trees. Hence, it is probable that Capromeryx minor from
Table 4. Comparison of body mass estimates (in kg) for Capromeryx from the late Pleistocene of Hidalgo and the small sized species C. minor from Rancho La Brea, California, USA. The estimates are based on the equations of Janis (1990) using the length (a) and area (b) of the m1 for ruminants only.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxon</th>
<th>(a)</th>
<th>(b)</th>
<th>Mean value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capromeryx minor</td>
<td>11.73</td>
<td>26.82</td>
<td>19.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specimens from Hidalgo (N = 2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. minor</td>
<td>9.29</td>
<td>24.37</td>
<td>16.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specimens from Rancho La Brea</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

the late Pleistocene of Hidalgo thrived along the transition zones of open and closed habitats. This is an appropriate scenario for having a selective diet. Our contention is in agreement with the mixed feeder category proposed for this species by means of isotopic analysis.

The antilocaprid Stockoceros conklingi weighed about 50 kg and had an intermediate size between Capromeryx minor and the existing pronghorn Antilocapra Americana (Kurtén and Anderson, 1980; Alroy, 2001). Analysis of dental wear patterns at micro- and macroscopic inspection indicates a mixed diet in Stockoceros onurosagris (Rivals and Semperebon, 2006; Semperebon and Rivals, 2007).

It has been shown that Capromeryx minor and Stockoceros conklingi were antilocaprids significantly different in size. Hence, it seems probable that this condition reduced competition for food resources between these species from the late Pleistocene of southeastern Hidalgo, both considered to be selective feeders.

The presence of Capromeryx minor in North American localities of lower altitude (0–100 m a.s.l.) compared to those of Stockoceros conklingi (1000–2000 m a.s.l.), suggests that the tiny species was able to use some plant resources that were not suitable or not available to S. conklingi.

CONCLUSIONS

The comparative study of fossil antilocaprid material (cranial and dental) from the late Pleistocene of Hidalgo (Rancholabrean) recognizes the presence of the small-sized Capromeryx minor and the medium-sized Stockoceros conklingi. The record from Hidalgo represents one of the southernmost occurrences of these species within North America.

By means of isotopic analysis we characterize the small-sized Capromeryx minor from southeastern Hidalgo as a selective feeder. A similar dietary behavior is indicated for the medium-sized Stockoceros conklingi.

Although Capromeryx minor and Stockoceros conklingi were selective feeders, body size differences between the species and altitude differences between some of their localities suggest that competition for resources between these pronghorn was not strong.
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