Lack of antidiabetic effect of a Eugenia jambolana leaf decoction on rat streptozotocin diabetes

Abstract

Streptozotocin-diabetic rats were treated for 17 days with a decoction of Eugenia jambolana (Myrtaceae) leaves (15%, w/v) as a substitute for water. Body weight, food and fluid intake, urine volume, glycemia, urinary glucose and urea were evaluated every 5 days. The animals were sacrificed by decapitation and blood samples collected for the determination of glycemia, serum cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, triglycerides and angiotensin-converting enzyme. The weight of adipose and muscle tissues was also determined. There were no statistically significant differences between treated and untreated rats for any of the biochemical or physiological parameters. We conclude that, at least in this experimental model, Eugenia jambolana leaf decoction has no antidiabetic activity.

Introduction

Plant infusions and decoctions have been used as popular medicines in several underdeveloped and developing countries as an alternative treatment for various conditions, including diabetes mellitus (1). The genus Eugenia (synonym: Syzygium, family: Myrtaceae) has 14 species, including Eugenia uniflora, Eugenia punissifolia, Syzygium jambos (L.) Alst. and Eugenia jambolana Lam. (synonym: Syzygium cumini (L.) skeels, sometimes called Syzygium jambolana (2-6)), all of which have been cited as having physiological effects in various species, including man. For example, it has been reported that E. uniflora leaves have diuretic and anti-inflammatory effects (7), antihypertensive effects (3,8,9), antigout effects (7), and hypoglycemic and hypotriglyceridic effects (10). The fruit of this plant has also been reported to have antihypertensive effects (9). The roots of E. punissifolia have been shown to have a hypoglycemic effect in normal and pancreatectomized dogs (4). In Brazil, both E. jambolana and Syzygium jambos are known by the common name Jambolão (2). The leaves of S. jambos have been reported to have a hypotensive action (11), and the leaves and flower buds of E. jambolana are considered diuretic (12,13). The bark of E. jambolana has shown antidi-
arrheal effects (14) as well as an inhibitory action against the activity of HIV-1 protease (15), while the seeds of this plant have shown anticonvulsant effects (16).

*Eugenia jambolana* is frequently used for the treatment of diabetes, and it has been shown that the bark, fruits, seeds or leaves of this plant collected from diverse regions of the world and administered in different pharmaceutical preparations (e.g., tinctures and aqueous extracts) decrease blood glucose levels in diabetic animals (17-22). Also, infusions (simple aqueous extracts prepared with hot water but without boiling) and decoctions (boiled infusions) of *E. jambolana* have been used in popular medicine for the treatment of diabetes mellitus.

In a southern Brazilian study, most Jambo lovers interviewed stated that they either infused or decocted leaves of both *E. jambolana* and *S. jambos* in water at an average concentration of 2.5 g/l and drank it in place of water at a mean daily intake of about 1 liter. It was found, however, that *S. jambos* collected in southern Brazil and prepared by these methods did not affect the glycemia of normal individuals (5). Subsequent studies on normal and streptozotocin-diabetic rats have also shown that aqueous extracts and decoctions of southern Brazilian *E. jambolana* have no hypoglycemic effects (2,23).

The majority of the studies cited above in which the presence or absence of hypoglycemic effects was studied were restricted to the evaluation of these effects in animals or non-diabetic humans. Moreover, studies of the effects of chronic treatment with plant extracts are infrequently found in the literature. Because of this, and the contradictory reports appearing in the rare studies which have been carried out with Brazilian *Eugenia*, the present study with streptozotocin-diabetic rats was carried out to investigate the effects of subchronic administration of *E. jambolana* leaf decoction on several metabolic parameters usually altered in diabetes mellitus.

### Material and Methods

#### Plant material and decoction preparation

A tree located in the Medicinal Plants Garden of the School of Pharmacy, Araraquara, SP, Brazil, was identified as *Eugenia jambolana* by Dr. Gilberto Dolejal Zanetti and authenticated material was deposited in the Herbarium of the Department of Industrial Pharmacy, Federal University of Santa Maria, Santa Maria, RS, Brazil, under accession No. 118. Leaves from this tree were collected in September and October (the end of winter/beginning of spring in southeast Brazil) and used to prepare a decoction according to the recipe used by the local population of Araraquara, i.e., boiling 150 g of fresh leaves in 1 liter of water for 5 min, allowing the decoction to stand for 30 min and filtering through simple filter paper. Such procedure resulted in a decoction with a 15% higher concentration than that produced by the method described by Teixeira et al. (5). A fresh decoction was prepared every 2 or 3 days and kept in dark bottles at 4°C.

#### Animals and treatment

A group of male Wistar rats were adapted to metabolic cages for 2 or 3 days. The animals were then anesthetized with ethyl ether and 40 mg/kg body weight streptozotocin (STZ) dissolved in 0.01 M citrate buffer, pH 4.5, was injected into the jugular vein. The rats were fasted for 14-16 h and their mean weight was 158 ± 2 g. Another group of rats weighing 112 ± 4 g were treated in the same way except that they received STZ at the dose of 60 mg/kg body weight because young rats proved to be more resistant than older ones to the diabetogenic action of STZ (24). All rats were returned to their metabolic cages where they had free access to water and food, being housed under a 12:12-h light/dark cycle at 22-25°C. The animals were fed a normal laboratory chow diet con-
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Decoction administration

Three days after STZ (40 mg/kg body weight) administration, the STZ group had their body weight, plasma glucose (339-387 mg/dl), urinary glucose and food intake measured and these parameters were used to obtain matched pairs of rats with a similar degree of diabetes. One rat in each pair was randomly assigned to a group to be treated with *E. jambolana* decoction (treated group) and the other to an untreated (control) group. Five days after STZ injection, animals in the treated group received *E. jambolana* decoction in place of water, while the control group received water. Body weight, food and liquid intake, urine volume, plasma and urinary glucose were measured every 5 days at about 9 a.m. During the experiment blood samples for plasma glucose were collected from the tip of the tail. The rats were sacrificed by decapitation 22 days after STZ injection (17-day treatment with *E. jambolana* decoction) when free running blood was collected for the determination of plasma glucose and serum cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol and triglycerides. Plasma glucose and serum cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol and triglycerides were determined with an autoanalyzer (Bayer Technicon RA-100, Tarrytown, NY, USA). Data were analyzed by randomized ANOVA and the paired and unpaired Student *t*-test (GB-STAT program, version 5.0), with the level of significance set at *P*<0.05.

Results and Discussion

Table 1 shows the mean values of body weight and the various metabolic parameters for the control group of 10 rats as compared to the treated group of rats which received *E. jambolana* decoction in place of drinking water. It should be remembered that, although the results represent average values, the rats were, in fact, paired as described in Material and Methods.

Analysis of the data in Table 1 shows that none of the metabolic parameters examined was significantly different in the *E. jambolana*-treated group. Statistically significant differences between treated and control groups were found in water intake on day 5 of treatment and in urine volume on day 10. However, the statistical significance obtained was due to the relatively low values of fluid intake or urine volume in the control groups at the time periods in question. Indeed, in treated animals these parameters did not differ significantly from the pretreatment values at any of the experimental intervals.

Table 2 presents the results of treatment of STZ-diabetic rats with insulin. The data
show, as expected, an increase in body weight accompanied by significant (P<0.01 or P<0.001) reductions in the values of all the other metabolic parameters, which are usually elevated in diabetes.

The lack of a hypoglycemic effect was also obtained by Teixeira et al. (5) when they administrated *E. jambolana* aqueous decocction (8 g of leaves per liter) to nondiabetic subjects. These authors also found no effect when they increased the quantity of *E. jambolana* leaves in the decocction (16 and 32 g of leaves per liter) and administered the decocction as a water substitute to STZ-induced diabetic rats for 14 days or to nondiabetic rats for 14 and 95 days (2). It is interesting also to note that these authors used dried leaves collected in southern Brazil.

It is well known that plant chemical constituents may vary according to humidity, soil and climatic conditions (28), and the lack of effect of *E. jambolana* reported here does not exclude the possibility of obtaining antidiabetic effects with plants collected at a different time of the year or in a different region and it is also possible that any active compound may be more concentrated in other parts of the plant. Indeed, it has been shown that both seed (18) and bark (17) extracts of *E. jambolana* can decrease blood glucose levels of diabetic and normal rabbits, while *E. jambolana* fruit pulp extract reduced blood sugar level within 30 min. The same level of reduction was observed only after 24 h using seed extracts (21). The treatment of spontaneously diabetic rats for 10 months with *E. jambolana* seed extracts (29) induced cataract regression and reduced glycemia to values close to the normal range (70-125 mg/dl). The beneficial effects obtained in that study may have been due to the prolonged treatment period and/or the type of diabetic animals used, or might have occurred as a result of a higher concentration of active compounds in the seeds.

More recently, it has been reported that the administration of seed extracts of 2.5-5.0 g/kg body weight resulted in a significant reduction of blood glucose, while the administration of seed extract of 7.5 g/kg body weight resulted in no significant reduction (19). This suggests that increased concentra-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metabolic parameters</th>
<th>Days after streptozotocin injection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (g)</td>
<td>159 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluid intake (ml/24 h)</td>
<td>55 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food intake (g/24 h)</td>
<td>17.0 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine volume (ml/24 h)</td>
<td>29 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary glucose (g/24 h)</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary urea (mg/24 h)</td>
<td>573 ± 52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma glucose (mg/dl)</td>
<td>357 ± 39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|                      | 10 (5)   | 15 (10)  |
|                      | C       | T       |
| Body weight (g)      | 198 ± 5 | 186 ± 4 |
| Fluid intake (ml/24 h)| 50 ± 4  | 70 ± 6*  |
| Food intake (g/24 h) | 17.9 ± 0.9 | 18.6 ± 1.3 |
| Urine volume (ml/24 h)| 30 ± 2  | 37 ± 6  |
| Urinary glucose (g/24 h)| 2.0 ± 0.3 | 2.5 ± 0.4 |
| Urinary urea (mg/24 h)| 601 ± 54 | 661 ± 88 |
| Plasma glucose (mg/dl)| 344 ± 21 | 407 ± 50 |

|                      | 22 (17)  |
|                      | C       | T       |
| Body weight (g)      | 234 ± 5 | 234 ± 5 |
| Fluid intake (ml/24 h)| 43 ± 4  | 52 ± 5  |
| Food intake (g/24 h) | 15.6 ± 1.2 | 19.3 ± 1.3 |
| Urine volume (ml/24 h)| 20 ± 2  | 32 ± 5* |
| Urinary glucose (g/24 h)| 1.2 ± 0.2 | 2.2 ± 0.4 |
| Urinary urea (mg/24 h)| 521 ± 58 | 633 ± 90 |
| Plasma glucose (mg/dl)| 377 ± 34 | 388 ± 42 |

*P<0.05 compared to control (ANOVA and unpaired Student t-test).
tions of active compounds in plant extracts are not always beneficial, and can even promote adverse biological effects.

Table 3 shows that there was no alteration in either serum triglyceride level (also in serum cholesterol and HDL-cholesterol levels) or adipose tissue weight in STZ-diabetic rats receiving the *E. jambolana* decoction, indicating that this treatment did not improve lipid metabolism. In a similar study of STZ-diabetic rats treated for 19 days with *Myrcia uniflora* (a plant found in the Brazilian Amazon called Pedra-hume-caá in Portuguese) there was no increase in body weight or the weight of epididymal and retroperitoneal adipose tissue but there were significant reductions in hyperglycemia, polyphagia, urine volume and urinary excretion of glucose and urea (30). The lack of effects by *E. jambolana* on serum triglyceride levels (Table 3) contrasts with some *in vitro* studies using 70% ethanol extracts of *Eugenia uniflora* leaves, which have shown that two fractions have an inhibitory effect on pancreatic lipase activity resulting in improvement of hypertriglyceridemia in mice (10).

It also appears that *E. jambolana* treatment did not result in better protein metabolism in STZ-diabetic rats because urinary urea was similar in the control and treated groups (Table 1), which is in agreement with the fact that there was no alteration in soleus or EDL muscle weight (Table 3).

The activity of ACE, an enzyme involved in vascular changes in diabetic kidneys (31,32), is increased in diabetic animals (33,34), but it seems that the *E. jambolana* decoction has no protective effect on blood vessels since ACE levels were similar in both the treated and control groups (Table 3).

In this report, evidence is presented that the subchronic oral administration of a fresh *E. jambolana* leaf decoction to STZ-diabetic rats is not useful for the treatment of diabetes because there were no significant positive changes in the biochemical or physiological parameters investigated. It is possible that these negative results may have been due to factors such as the geographical location of the specific *E. jambolana* tree used, the season in which the leaves were collected, the fact that only leaves were used (other parts of the tree could have different effects), the concentration of the decoctions and the type of diabetes induced. It is still possible, however, that *E. jambolana* leaf extracts might be useful in the treatment of human type 2 diabetes, because the STZ-diabetes model used here is more correlated to type 1 diabetes.
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